IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	RECEIVED
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINAC,	CLERK, CHARLESTON, S

William Patrick,	2008 NOV 13 A 3: .
Plaintiff,	) ) Civil Action No. 4:08-2383 )
Officer P. M. Keifer, York County Sheriff's Dept.; Robert Outen; Officer M. J. Doody, York County Sheriff's Dept.; Officer Gregory S. Maggart, York County Sheriff's Dept.; Supervisor Tim Hager, Unit 600 York Co. Sheriff's Dept.,	) ORDER ) ) ) ) )
Defendants.	) ) )

This matter is before the Court upon the Plaintiff's <u>pro se</u> complaint, which alleges violations of his constitutional rights pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. By local rule, the matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge for preliminary determinations. On October 6, 2008, United States Magistrate Judge Thomas R. Rogers issued a Report and Recommendation ("R&R") analyzing the Plaintiff's complaint and recommending that the Court dismiss the Plaintiff's complaint without prejudice and without issuance and service of process for failure to assert a federal claim. Attached to the R&R was a notice advising the parties of the right to file specific, written objections to the R&R within 10 days of the late of service of the R&R. To date, no objections have been filed.

Absent timely objection from a dissatisfied party, a district court is not required to review, under a <u>de novo</u> or any other standard, a Magistrate Judge's factual or legal conclusions. <u>Thomas v. Arn</u>, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985); <u>Wells v. Shriner's Hosp.</u>, 109 F.3d 198, 201 (4th Cir. 1997). Here, because the Plaintiff did not file any specific, written

objections, there are no portions of the R&R to which the Court must conduct a <u>de novo</u> review. Accordingly, the Court hereby adopts the Magistrate Judge's R&R as the Order of this Court, and it is

**ORDERED** that this action is dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Senior United States District Judge

November 17, 2008 Charleston, South Carolina

